arXiv:1407.2091v2 [math.NT] 4 Nov 2014

The Plastic Number and its Generalized Polynomial

Vasileios Iliopoulos[‡]

November 5, 2014

Abstract

The polynomial $X^3 - X - 1$ has a unique positive root known as plastic number, which is denoted by ρ and is approximately equal to 1.32471795. In this note we study the zeroes of the generalized polynomial $X^k - \sum_{j=0}^{k-2} X^j$ for $k \geq 3$ and prove that its unique positive root λ_k tends to the golden ratio $\phi = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ as $k \to \infty$. We also derive bounds on λ_k in terms of Fibonacci numbers.

Keywords: Fibonacci, golden ratio, plastic number.
AMS Subject Classification 2010: 11B39, 11B83.

1 Introduction

The recurrence $F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$, with initial values $F_0 = 0$ and $F_1 = 1$ yields the celebrated Fibonacci numbers. It is well known that for $n > 1$

$$F_n = \frac{\phi^n - (1-\phi)^n}{\sqrt{5}},$$

where $\phi = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ is the positive root of the characteristic polynomial $X^2 - X - 1$, known as *golden ratio*.

One can readily generalise the recurrence and define the $k \geq 2$ order Fibonacci sequence $F_n = F_{n-1} + \ldots + F_{n-k}$, with initial conditions $F_0 = \ldots = F_{k-2} = 0$ and $F_{k-1} = 1$. The characteristic polynomial of this recurrence is $X^k - X^{k-1} - \ldots - X - 1$. Its zeroes are much studied in literature: we refer to [4], [5], [6], [13] and [14], where it is proved that the unique positive root tends to 2, as $k \to \infty$. Series representations for this root are derived in [1] by Lagrange inversion theorem.

In this note, we turn our attention to the positive zero of the polynomial $X^3 - X - 1$, known as *plastic number*, which will throughout be denoted by ρ and is equal to $\sqrt[3]{1 + \sqrt[3]{1 + \sqrt[3]{1 \ldots}}}$ [7]. The plastic number was introduced by van der Laan [3]. The recurrence relation is $a_n = a_{n-2} + a_{n-3}$, with initial conditions $a_0 = a_1 = a_2 = 1$ and defines the integer sequence, known as Padovan sequence [11]. Although the

[‡]E-mail: iliopou@gmail.com

bibliography regarding the analysis of Fibonacci numbers is quite extensive, it seems not to be this case regarding the plastic number. In the next section we examine a generalisation of the Padovan sequence and its associated characteristic polynomial and derive bounds on the unique positive root of the polynomial $X^k - X^{k-2} - \ldots - X - 1$.

2 The Generalized sequence

Consider the recurrence

$$a_n = \sum_{l=2}^{k} a_{n-l}$$

for $k \geq 3$ and initial conditions $a_0 = \ldots = a_{k-1} = 1$. For $k = 3$, we obtain as a special case the Padovan sequence. A lemma follows regarding the roots of its characteristic polynomial.

Lemma 2.1. *The polynomial $\mathcal{F}_k(X) = X^k - X^{k-2} - \ldots - X - 1$ has k simple roots. Its real roots are the positive and irrational λ_k; λ_k and -1 when k is even, along with the $2\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor$ complex roots $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_{\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor}$ with their conjugates $\overline{\mu}_1, \ldots, \overline{\mu}_{\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor}$.*

Proof. It can be easily seen that neither 0 nor 1 are roots of $\mathcal{F}_k(X)$. Following [5] and [6], it is convenient to work with the polynomial

$$(X-1)\mathcal{F}_k(X) = X^{k+1} - X^k - X^{k-1} + 1. \tag{1}$$

Differentiating Eq. (1), we obtain

$$((X-1)\mathcal{F}_k(X))' = (k+1)X^k - kX^{k-1} - (k-1)X^{k-2}. \tag{2}$$

Eq. (2) is 0, at $X = 0$ or at the roots of the quadratic polynomial:

$$(k+1)X^2 - kX - (k-1). \tag{3}$$

Its discriminant can be easily computed to $\Delta = 5k^2 - 4 > 0$, for all $k \geq 3$ and the two real roots of polynomial of Eq. (3) are

$$\beta_{1,2}(k) = \frac{k \pm \sqrt{5k^2 - 4}}{2(k+1)}. \tag{4}$$

We identify the real roots by elementary means. Note that $\mathcal{F}_k(1) = 2 - k < 0$ and $\mathcal{F}_k(\phi) = \phi$ and applying Descartes' rule of signs to Eq. (1), there is a unique positive root λ_k in $(1, \phi)$ and for k even, the unique negative root of the polynomial is -1. Also, the polynomial is monic and by Gauss's lemma the root λ_k is irrational.

Observe that $\lambda_k \neq \beta_2(k)$, since $\beta_2(k)$ is negative for all $k \geq 3$ and $\lambda_k \neq \beta_1(k)$. For if they were equal then by Rolle's theorem, there is at least one root α of Eq. (3) in $(1, \beta_1(k))$, but $\beta_2(k) < 0 < 1$ and considering the fundamental theorem of Algebra, which states that every polynomial with complex coefficients and degree k has k complex roots with multiplicities, we arrive in contradiction. This shows that the polynomial $(X-1)\mathcal{F}_k(X)$ has $(k+1)$ simple roots. We complete the proof noting that $\mathcal{F}_k(X)$ and $(X-1)\mathcal{F}_k(X)$ are positive and increasing for $X > \lambda_k$ and negative for $1 < X < \lambda_k$. □

A direct consequence of Lemma 2.1 is

Corollary 2.2. *The polynomial $\mathcal{F}_k(X)$ is irreducible on the ring of integer numbers $\mathbb{Z}$ if and only if k is odd.*

Further, it is easy to prove that all complex zeroes of the polynomial are inside the unit circle. The next Lemma is from Miles [5] and Miller [6].

Lemma 2.3 (Miles [5], Miller [6])**.** *For all the complex zeroes μ of the polynomial $\mathcal{F}_k(X)$, it holds that $|\mu| < 1$.*

Proof. Assume that there exists a complex μ (and hence $\overline{\mu}$), with $1 < |\mu| < \lambda_k$. We have that $(\mu - 1)\mathcal{F}_k(\mu) = 0$ and

$$|\mu^{k+1}| = |\mu^k + \mu^{k-1} - 1|. \tag{5}$$

Applying the triangle inequality to Eq. (5), we deduce that

$$(|\mu| - 1)\mathcal{F}_k(|\mu|) > 0,$$

which contradicts Lemma 2.1. Assuming now that $|\mu| > \lambda_k$, we have

$$|\mu^k| = \left|\sum_{j=0}^{k-2} \mu^j\right| \leq \sum_{j=0}^{k-2} |\mu^j|,$$

which is equivalent to $\mathcal{F}_k(|\mu|) \leq 0$ and again we arrive in contradiction. Finally, by the same reasoning it can be easily proved that there is no complex zero μ, with either $|\mu| = \lambda_k$ or $|\mu| = 1$. □

Lemma 2.3 implies that the solution of the generalised recurrence can be approximated by

$$a_n \approx C\lambda_k^n, \tag{6}$$

with negligible error term. In Eq. (6), C is a constant to be determined by the solution of a linear system of the initial conditions.

We now consider more carefully Eq. (4)

$$\beta_{1,2}(k) = \frac{k \pm \sqrt{5k^2 - 4}}{2(k+1)}.$$

Observe that $\beta_1(k) = \frac{k+\sqrt{5k^2-4}}{2(k+1)}$ is increasing and bounded sequence. Furthermore,

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{k + \sqrt{5k^2 - 4}}{2(k+1)} = \frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{5}{4}} = \phi. \tag{7}$$

Also, $\beta_2(k) = \frac{k-\sqrt{5k^2-4}}{2(k+1)}$ is decreasing and bounded and

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{k - \sqrt{5k^2 - 4}}{2(k+1)} = \frac{1}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{5}{4}} = 1 - \phi. \tag{8}$$

From Eq. (7) and (8), we deduce that two of the critical points of Eq. (1), (recall that these are 0 with multiplicity $(k-2)$, $\beta_1(k)$ and $\beta_2(k)$), converge to ϕ and $1-\phi$. A straightforward calculation can show that $\beta_1(k)$ are points of local minima of the function $(X-1)\mathcal{F}_k(X)$ to the interval $(1,\lambda_k)$, so $\beta_1(k) < \lambda_k < \phi$ for all $k \geq 3$ and by squeeze lemma we have that $\lim_{k\to\infty} \lambda_k = \phi$.

We remark that ρ is a Pisot–Vijayaraghavan number, a real algebraic integer having modulus greater to 1 where its conjugates lie inside the unit circle. These numbers are named after C. Pisot [8] and T. Vijayaraghavan [12], who independently studied them. Siegel [10] considered several families of polynomials and showed that the plastic number is the smallest Pisot–Vijayaraghavan number. By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3, the positive zeroes of the polynomial $X^k - \sum_{j=0}^{k-2} X^j$, where k is odd are Pisot–Vijayaraghavan numbers. In case that k is even, the positive roots of the polynomial $X^k - \sum_{j=0}^{k-2} X^j$ are Salem numbers [9]. This family of numbers is closely related to the set of Pisot–Vijayaraghavan numbers. They are positive algebraic integers with modulus greater than 1, where its conjugates have modulus no greater than 1 and at least one root has modulus equal to 1.

We have proved that for all $k \geq 3$,

$$\frac{k+\sqrt{5k^2-4}}{2(k+1)} < \lambda_k < \phi. \tag{9}$$

Using the identity $5F_k^2 = L_k^2 - 4(-1)^k$ [2, Section 5], we have that for $k = F_{2t+1}$

$$\lambda_{F_{2t+1}} > \frac{F_{2t+1}+L_{2t+1}}{2(F_{2t+1}+1)}, \tag{10}$$

where L_n is the n-th Lucas number, defined by $L_n = L_{n-1} + L_{n-2}$ for $n \geq 2$, with initial conditions $L_0 = 2$ and $L_1 = 1$. Lucas numbers obey the following closed form expression for $n \geq 0$, [2]

$$L_n = \phi^n + (1-\phi)^n.$$

Now (10) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_{F_{2t+1}} &> \frac{F_{2t+1}+L_{2t+1}}{2(F_{2t+1}+1)} \\ &= \frac{F_{2(t+1)}}{F_{2t+1}+1}.\end{aligned}$$

Actually, (10) is valid when $\dfrac{k+\sqrt{5k^2-4}}{2(k+1)}$ is quadratic irrational. A stronger result is the following Theorem.

Theorem 2.4. *For $k \geq 3$, it holds that*

$$\frac{F_{k+1}}{F_k+1} < \lambda_k < \frac{F_{k+1}}{F_k}.$$

Proof. For $k = 3$, we have that

$$\frac{F_4}{F_3+1} < \rho < \frac{F_4}{F_3},$$

where $\lambda_3 := \rho$. Since for $k > 3$, $\frac{F_{k+1}}{F_k} > 1$ and $\frac{F_{k+1}}{F_k+1} > 1$, by Lemma 2.1 it suffices to show that $(\frac{F_{k+1}}{F_k+1} - 1)\mathcal{F}_k(\frac{F_{k+1}}{F_k+1}) < 0$ and $(\frac{F_{k+1}}{F_k} - 1)\mathcal{F}_k(\frac{F_{k+1}}{F_k}) > 0$. Setting $X = \frac{F_{k+1}}{F_k}$ to Eq. (1), we have to prove that

$$\left(\frac{F_{k+1}}{F_k}\right)^{k-1}\left(\left(\frac{F_{k+1}}{F_k}\right)^2 - \frac{F_{k+1}}{F_k} - 1\right) > -1.$$

The previous inequality is the same as

$$\left(\frac{F_{k+1}}{F_k}\right)^2 - \frac{F_{k+1}}{F_k} - 1 > -\left(\frac{F_k}{F_{k+1}}\right)^{k-1}. \tag{11}$$

The left-hand side of (11) is

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{F_{k+1}^2 - F_kF_{k+1} - F_k^2}{F_k^2} &= \frac{F_{k+1}(F_{k+1}-F_k) - F_k^2}{F_k^2}\\ &= \frac{F_{k+1}F_{k-1} - F_k^2}{F_k^2}\\ &= \frac{(-1)^k}{F_k^2}. \qquad \text{By Cassini's identity [2].}\end{aligned}$$

Then, (11) is true for all k.

For the proof of the left inequality of the Theorem, we have to show that

$$\left(\frac{F_{k+1}}{F_k+1}\right)^2 - \frac{F_{k+1}}{F_k+1} - 1 < -\left(\frac{F_k+1}{F_{k+1}}\right)^{k-1}. \tag{12}$$

We then have

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{F_{k+1}}{F_k+1}\right)^2 - \frac{F_{k+1}}{F_k+1} - 1 &= \frac{F_{k+1}^2 - F_kF_{k+1} - F_{k+1} - F_k^2 - 2F_k - 1}{(F_k+1)^2}\\ &= \frac{(-1)^k - (F_{k+1} + 2F_k + 1)}{(F_k+1)^2}\\ &= \frac{(-1)^k - L_{k+1} - 1}{(F_k+1)^2},\end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to

$$L_{k+1}F_{k+1}^{k-1} > (F_k+1)^{k+1}.$$

Using that

$$L_{k+1} = \phi^{k+1} + (1-\phi)^{k+1} \quad \text{and} \quad F_{k+1} = \frac{\phi^{k+1} - (1-\phi)^{k+1}}{\sqrt{5}}$$

the identity

$$F_{2(k+1)} > (F_k+1)^2,$$

which can be easily proven by induction completes the proof. □

References

[1] K. Hare, H. Prodinger, J. Shallit, Three Series for the Generalized Golden Mean. *Fibonacci Quart.* **52** (2014) 307-313.

[2] V. E. Hoggatt Jr., The Fibonacci and Lucas Numbers. *Houghton Mifflin*, 1969.

[3] D. H. van der Laan, Le Nombre Plastique: Quinze Leçons sur l'Ordonnance architectonique. *Leiden: Brill*, 1960.

[4] P. A. Martin, The Galois group of $x^n - x^{n-1} - \ldots - x - 1$. *J. Pure Appl. Algebr.* **190** (2004) 213-223.

[5] E. P. Miles Jr., Generalized Fibonacci numbers and associated matrices. *Amer. Math. Monthly* **67** (1960) 745-752.

[6] M. D. Miller, On generalized Fibonacci numbers. *Amer. Math. Monthly* **78** (1971) 1108-1109.

[7] T. Piezas III, F. van Lamoen and E. W. Weisstein, Plastic Constant, MathWorld. `http://mathworld.wolfram.com/PlasticConstant.html`

[8] C. Pisot, La répartition modulo 1 et les nombres algébriques. *Annali di Pisa* **7** (1938) 205-248.

[9] R. Salem, Power Series with Integral Coefficients. *Duke Math. J.* **12** (1945) 153-172.

[10] C. L. Siegel, Algebraic Numbers whose Conjugates Lie in the Unit Circle. *Duke Math. J.* **11** (1944) 597-602.

[11] I. Stewart, Tales of a Neglected Number. *Sci. Amer.* **274** (1996), 102-103.

[12] T. Vijayaraghavan, On the Fractional Parts of the Powers of a Number, II. *Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.* **37** (1941) 349-357.

[13] D. A. Wolfram, Solving generalized Fibonacci recurrences. *Fibonacci Quart.* **36** (1998) 129-145.

[14] X. Zhu and G. Grossman, Limits of zeros of polynomial sequences. *J. Comput. Anal. Appl.* **11** (2009) 140-158.